

# 2025 Nursing Research and Clinical Innovations Symposium: Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric

Presenter _____					Score
<b>Timekeeping</b>	<b>0</b> The presentation is < 7 minutes or > 13.	<b>1</b> The presentation is 7-8 minutes or 12-13 minutes.	<b>2</b> The presentation is 9 minutes or 11 minutes.	<b>3</b> The presentation is 10 minutes (give or take 30 seconds).	
<b>Background and aim</b>	<b>0</b> Background and/or aim are poorly described with no link provided.	<b>1 to 2</b> The description of the background and aim are inadequate with a vague link.	<b>3 to 4</b> The background and aim are well described with a clear link.	<b>5</b> The background and aim are concise and exceptionally described with a strong link.	
<b>Method/Innovation plan</b>	<b>0</b> The method is not in alignment with the aim and is poorly described.	<b>1 to 2</b> The method has some alignment / is aligned with the aim. There are some gaps in the description.	<b>3 to 4</b> The method is aligned with the aim and is well described.	<b>5</b> The method is strongly aligned with the aim and an exceptional description is provided.	
<b>Results/Innovation outcome</b>	<b>0</b> The results are poorly described and inconsistent with the aim/method.	<b>1 to 2</b> There is some description of the results with some consistencies with the aim/method.	<b>3 to 4</b> The results are clearly explained and consistent with the aim/method.	<b>5</b> The explanation of the results is exceptional with strong alignment with the aim/method.	
<b>Conclusion/ Recommendations</b>	<b>0</b> There is no clear conclusion or recommendations. The key message is not evident.	<b>1 to 2</b> The conclusion is poorly presented and/or recommendations are vague. The key message is not clear.	<b>3 to 4</b> The conclusion is logical with a clear key message and recommendations.	<b>5</b> The conclusion is exceptional with strong recommendations. The key message is exceptional.	
<b>Presentation</b>	<b>0</b> Language is inappropriate and poorly tailored for the specific audience. Presentation style lacks clarity and flow. The presenter fails to connect with the audience in any way (e.g. eye contact, tone of voice).	<b>1 to 2</b> Language is mostly tailored for the specific audience; however, more appropriate language could be used. Presentation style lacks clarity and flow. The presenter does little to connect with the audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of voice).	<b>3 to 5</b> Language is clear, appropriate and tailored for the audience. Sound presentation style, however, slides could be more engaging. The presenter connects well with the audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of voice).	<b>6 to 7</b> Language is clear, appropriate, and expertly tailored for the audience. Exceptional presentation style: clear, engaging and flows easily. The presenter does an outstanding job of connecting with and engaging the audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of voice).	
TOTAL /30					
Comments					